



(2) Claimant's subsequent employers are not indispensable parties to determine the issues whether claimant met with personal injury by accident arising out of and in the course of his employment with respondent and the nature and extent of disability that claimant now has due to an injury sustained while employed by the respondent. Because the subsequent employers are not indispensable parties, coupled with the fact that we are unable to find any statute that grants the Administrative Law Judge the authority to order the inclusion of other parties in a pending proceeding, the Appeals Board finds the Administrative Law Judge has exceeded her jurisdiction and authority by ordering the claimant to join other employers in this matter.

**WHEREFORE**, it is the finding, decision, and order of the Appeals Board that the Order of Administrative Law Judge Shannon S. Krysl entered in this proceeding on September 22, 1994, should be, and hereby is, reversed and set aside.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of August, 1995.

\_\_\_\_\_  
BOARD MEMBER

\_\_\_\_\_  
BOARD MEMBER

\_\_\_\_\_  
BOARD MEMBER

c: Michael L. Snider, Wichita, Kansas  
P. Kelly Donley, Wichita, Kansas  
Shannon S. Krysl, Administrative Law Judge  
Philip S. Harness, Director